No. (insert Habeas Writ number)

EX PARTE	§			IN THE			
	§						
	§	JU	DICIAL DI	STRIC	CT COU	JRT	
	§						
(insert Applicant's name)	§				(insert name)COUNTY, TEXAS		
PETITION FOR WRIT	OF HABEA	S CO	RPUS FOR	PERS	ON		
IN NEED OF HOSP	<u>'ITALIZATI(</u>	ON BI	UT LEFT I	N JAIL	1		
TO THE HONORABLE JUDG	GE OF SAID	COUI	RT:				
COMES NOW, Petition	oner (Name	of	Attorney),	on	behalf	of	
, Applicant i	in the above-s	styled	and number	red cau	ise,¹ and	by	
and through his attorney, (Name of	f inmate), mov	es the	Court to gra	nt a wr	it of hal	oeas	
corpus ordering the Sheriff of	Coi	unty to	transport him	n to the	appropr	riate	
state health facility and ordering the	e facility to rec	eive hi	m for treatm	ent. Thi	s petitio	n is	
brought pursuant to Articles 4.05 ar	nd 11.08 of the	Texas	Code of Crin	ninal Pr	ocedure	and	
Section 22.011 of the Texas Gove	ernment Code,	as we	ll as the follo	wing co	onstitutio	onal	
provisions: Article I §§13 and 19 of	of the Texas Co	onstitu	tion, Article	V, §8 c	of the Te	exas	
Constitution, and the Eighth ar	nd Fourteenth	Amer	ndments to	the Un	nited St	ates	
Constitution.							
(Insert Applicant's name),	a decorated U	nited S	tates Army v	eteran (delete if	not	
applicable), has been found to be in	ncompetent to st	and tri	al, due to his r	nental d	lisability	. To	
date, none of the law regarding the tr	eatment of inco	ompete	ent persons ha	s been f	followed		

¹ This document is a petition for a writ of habeas corpus, filed pursuant to chapter 11 of the Texas Code of Criminal Procedure. Filing fees for the petition are prohibited under Section 11.051 of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

APPLICANT IS UNDER RESTRAINT

II.

APPLICANT'S CONFINEMENT IS ILLEGAL

Pursuant to chapter 46B of the Code of Criminal Procedure, this Court found Applicant incompetent to stand trial on *(insert date and number of days)*, as of the date of the filing of this petition. Upon this determination, the Court had two options: release the defendant on bond, or commit him to a mental health facility for a period not to exceed 120 days for examination and treatment with the goal of restoration of competency. Tex. Code Crim. Pro. art. 46B.071 & 46B.073(b). This Court ordered him committed to a *(insert description if needed)* mental health facility.

The commitment process is governed by the Code of Criminal Procedure and the Texas Administrative Code. Under Article 46B.075 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the Court ordering commitment for restoration is required to "place the defendant in the custody of the sheriff for transportation to the facility ... in which the defendant is to receive treatment

for purposes of competency restoration." Tex. Code Crim. Pro. art. 46B.075. Once the sheriff transports the defendant to this facility, the state mental health facility "shall admit" the person for treatment. Tex. Admin. Code, tit. 25, part 1, chapter 412, Section 412.177. The facility's ministerial duty to admit the person delivered for restoration to competency completes the commitment process.

This process is not being followed. The _____ County Sheriff has not transported the person to the appropriate state hospital. No facility has admitted Mr./Ms. _____ 's ill-treatment is contrary to the rights of a person determined to require hospitalization. These circumstances are offensive to the letter of the law and basic moral decency.

Persons found incompetent to stand trial retain liberty interests in the conditions and circumstances of their confinement, interests protected by the 14th Amendment's Due Process Clause. *Bell v. Wolfish*, 441 U.S. 520 (1979); *Jackson v. Indiana*, 406 U.S. 715 (1971)(commitment of an incompetent defendant in a state hospital implicates due process protections); *Vitek v. Jones*, 445 U.S. 480, 493-494 (1980)(involuntary transfer of a state prisoner to a mental hospital implicates liberty interests protected by the due process clause); *O'Connor v. Donaldson*, 422 U.S. 563, 580 (1975)("involuntary commitment to a mental hospital, like involuntary confinement of an individual for any reason, is a deprivation of liberty which the State cannot accomplish without due process of law"); *Oregon Advocacy Ctr. v. Mink*, 322 F.3d 1101, 1120 (9th Cir. 2003)("Pretrial detainees, whether or not they have been declared unfit to proceed, have not been convicted of any crime. Therefore,

constitutional questions regarding the conditions and circumstances of their confinement are properly addressed under the due process clause of the Fourteenth Amendment.").

In determining whether a substantive right protected by the Due Process Clause has been violated, courts are required to consider the constitutionality of the detention in light of its purpose, and to ask whether the detention is based on "permissible" regulatory goals of the government, and if it is, whether the detention is excessive in relation to those goals. United States v. Salerno, 481 U.S. 739, 747 (1987); Bell, 441 U.S. at 539 (a pretrial detainee's due process rights are violated when the restrictions on their liberty are not reasonably related to legitimate government objectives). The seminal, unanimous Supreme Court case on the rights of persons found unfit to proceed made clear that "[a]t the least, due process requires that the nature and duration of commitment bear some reasonable relation to the purpose for which the individual is committed." *Jackson*, 406 U.S. at 738. Moreover, "holding incapacitated criminal defendants in jail for weeks or months violates their due process rights because the nature and duration of their incarceration bear no reasonable relation to the evaluative and restorative purposes for which courts commit those individuals." Mink, 322 F.3d at 1122. Mr./Mrs. _______'s due process rights are in continuous violation under the circumstances of this case.

The penalogical purpose for keeping Mr./Ms. _______in jail expired at the time s/he was found incompetent to stand trial. Under Texas law, Mr./Ms. _______ is supposed to be in a hospital for "examination and treatment toward the specific objective of attaining

competency to stand trial." Tex. Code of Crim. Pro. art. 46B.073(b). None of the laws governing incompetency has been followed.

The Texas Supreme Court and the Fifth Circuit have both indicated that if the purpose of the commitment is to secure treatment, and treatment is not provided, then the nature of the commitment bears no reasonable relation to its purpose and the state violates that person's right to due process. Wyatt v. Aderholt, 503 F.2d 1305, 1312 (5th Cir.1974); TXMHMR v. Petty, 848 S.W.2d 680, 685 (Tex.1992)(the purpose of involuntary commitment is to provide appropriate therapy[;] [w]hen the State negligently fails to pursue the goals, as here, liability may attach"), reversed on other grounds, University of Texas Med. Branch v. York, 871 S.W.2d. 175 (Tex. 1994). Any person found incompetent to stand trial cannot not be held in a state hospital for more than reasonable period of time necessary to determine whether there was a substantial probability that he would attain that capacity in the foreseeable future. Jackson, 406 U.S. at 738-39. If due process requires that the commitment of an incompetent defendant in a state hospital be only for a reasonable period of time to determine whether they have attained competency, then confinement of an incompetent defendant in a county jail, after it has been determined that competency restoration services are required and are not being provided, necessarily violates due process as well.

THEREFORE, it is respectfully requested that this Court conduct a hearing as soon as practicable, take judicial notice of its prior proceedings and findings of incompetency, and

upon conclusion thereof issue a writ of habeas corpus ordering Applicant's immediate release, or alternatively, ordering Applicant's immediate transfer to a mental health facility or outpatient treatment program that can provide competency restoration treatment, in accordance with law.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDER	RED, Petitioner prays that this Court issue a
writ of habeas corpus and order	to be released or
transported to an appropriate hospital operated	by the Texas Department of State Health
Services, and any other such relief that law and	l equity affords.
So moved and prayed that the writ of h	abeas corpus be issued. Executed this day,
(insert date).	
	NAME OF FILING ATTORNEY
	NAME OF FILING ATTORNEY ADDRESS:
	PHONE NUMBERS:
	FAX NUMBER:
	EMAIL ADDRESS:
	STATE BAR NO
	Petitioner for (insert Applicant's name),
	NAME OF SECOND FILING
	ATTORNEY
	ADDRESS:
	PHONE NUMBERS:
	FAX NUMBER:
	STATE BAR NO.
	Attorney for (insert Applicant's name),
CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE: This Applicate Ordered into Hospitalization but Left in Jail 1	
recipient's name), assistant district attorney Attorney's office on this day, (insert date).	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

STATE OF TEXAS	Ę
	8
COUNTY OF (insert county name)	8

BEFORE ME, the undersigned authority, on this day personally appeared (*insert petitioning attorney's name*), Petitioner, who being by me duly sworn, upon oath states that the allegations of fact contained in the foregoing *Application for Writ of Habeas Corpus for Person Ordered into Hospitalization but Left in Jail* are true and correct.

Signed this day, (insert date).

(INSERT ATTORNEY'S NAME), Petitioner

Notary Public in and for the State of Texas

No		
EX PARTE	§	IN THE
	######################################	JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT
(INSERT APPLICANT'S NAME)	§ §	OFCOUNTY, TEXAS
ORDER ISSUING W	RIT AND	GRANTING RELIEF
On this day, (insert date), came of	on to be he	eard the Petition for Writ of Habeas
Corpus for Person Ordered into Hospit	talization b	but Left in Jail on behalf of Applicant,
	_, and the C	Court having considered the same finds
that the Writ should be issued and Applic	cant entitle	ed to the relief sought. Accordingly, this
Court orders that the Coun	ty Sheriff t	transport
,to the appropriate hospital operated by	the Texas I	Department of State Health Services,
and that this order and a second writ of	commitme	ent be immediately faxed to
Superintendents James E. Smith, Superintendents James E. Smith	intendent o	of the North Texas State Hospital,
Vernon Campus, at (940) 553-2500; and	d Brenda S	Slaton, Superintendent of the Rusk State
Hospital at (903) 683-7101.		
	JUDGE	PRESIDING

No.

EX PARTE	§		IN THE
	* * * * *	JUDIC	IAL DISTRICT COURT
(insert Applicant's name)	8 §	OF	COUNTY, TEXAS
SECOND WRIT	OF CRIMIN	AL COMMI	TMENT
To the Sheriff ofC			
security hospitals operated by the	e Texas Depar	tment of Sta	te Health Services:
On (insert date of incompet that him to be transported to the approximate Health Services. He has remainded to the approximate the services of	, is i	incompetent of operated by	to stand trial, and ordered the Texas Department of
Corpus, complaining that he is illed. The Court orders the Sheater Texas Department of State Health State S	egally confined eriff of, to the	in jail. This	County to transport
The Court further orders the State Hospital admit	•		-
The Court orders that this Staxed to the North Texas State Hos (903) 683-7101.			
	E PRESIDING		
DATE: (insert filing date).			